

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/797,215	03/09/2004	Loc X. Phan	018563-001550US	4185
46718 7590 (8008)20009 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP (018563) TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER, EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, YOGESH P	
SAN FRANCI	CISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/797,215 PHAN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit YOGESH PATEL -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 June 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 24.28.29 and 32-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 24,28-29,32-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/12/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 24, 28-29, 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

No adequate support found in the originally filed specification for the "without interruption" of claims 24 and 32.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,215

Art Unit: 3732

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 24, 28-29, 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 24 and 32, it is not clear what is meant by "without interruption."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24, 28-29, 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews (4,591,341) in view of Cathcart (2,643,652).

Andrews discloses an orthodontic positioner including a shell having a hollow cavity with first and second edges shaped to receive and reposition teeth from a first orientation to a successive orientation, the shell having at least one protrusion 14 (fig. 1-3) disposed along the hollow cavity and at least one additional protrusion disposed along the hollow cavity, which protrusions contact a plurality of teeth, wherein protrusions each comprise a continuous protrusion.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,215

Art Unit: 3732

Andrews fails to disclose the protrusion being positioned along the edge of the positioner.

Cathcart teaches a mouthpiece having a first and second edge and having a continuous protrusion 10 (fig. 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Andrews by providing the continuous protrusion as taught by Cathcart in order to properly retain the device in patient's mouth. Furthermore, such protrusions are more reliable than suction devices as in Andrews.

Andrews teaches the protrusions are can be placed on (e.g. adhere to) or can be embedded in the device (col. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Andrews by providing the continuous protrusion to the device by either attaching or by embedding in view of Cathcart in order to easily attach/detach the protrusion or to permanently keep the protrusion on the device as one desires.

Claims 24, 28-29, 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews (4,591,341) in view of Weissman (4,368,040). Weissman teaches continuous protrusion 24, 26 as claimed for the motivation as above.

Andrews teaches the protrusions are can be placed on (e.g. adhere to) or can be embedded in the device (col. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Andrews by providing the continuous protrusion to the device by either attaching or by embedding in

Art Unit: 3732

view of Weissman in order to easily attach/detach the protrusion or to permanently keep the protrusion on the device as one desires.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The applicant argued that the Examiner has suggested using language such as "without interruption." The Examiner disagrees because such terms have different scope which is not supported in the specification.

The Examiner suggested possible terms for "protrusions" based on provided drawing (figures 15A) in the previous office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOGESH PATEL whose telephone number is (571)270-3646. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,215 Page 6

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/YOGESH PATEL/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Ralph A. Lewis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732